

IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUIRIES ACT 2005

AND IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUIRY RULES 2006

THE ANTHONY GRAINGER INQUIRY

**GIST OF PARTS OF THE CLOSED HEARINGS [2]:
ACC STEVEN HEYWOOD**

1. ACC Heywood gave evidence to the Inquiry that DI Cousen informed him of the source of the intelligence which is recorded in the redacted passage on page 3601 of his *Log*.
2. ACC Heywood was shown the written records of the intelligence passed verbally and in writing by the NCA to GMP and agreed that these records did not:
 - a. Include any record of the intelligence set out in the redacted passage on page 3601 of his *Log* being disseminated by the NCA to GMP before 1.45pm on 1.3.12.
 - b. Include any intelligence the same, as or similar to, the intelligence set out in the redacted passage on page 3601 of his *Log* being in existence before 1.45pm on 1.3.12.
3. ACC Heywood was shown the written records of the intelligence passed by the NCA to GMP and agreed that these included intelligence received by GMP on 2.3.12 that was similar to some of what he had written in the redacted passage on page 3601 of his *Log*.
4. ACC Heywood was shown the written records of the intelligence passed verbally and in writing by the NCA to GMP and agreed that these records did not:
 - a. Include any record of the intelligence set out in the two redacted passages on page 3603 of his *Log* being disseminated by the NCA to GMP before 1.45pm on 1.3.12.
 - b. Include any intelligence the same, as or similar to, the intelligence set out in the two redacted passages on page 3603 of his *Log* being in existence before 1.45pm on 1.3.12.
5. This gist is not a summary of all of the redacted closed evidence given by ACC Heywood.
6. This gist has been seen by the NCA and GMP – each agrees that:
 - a. It is accurate and fair; and

- b. The information contained within it can be disclosed to the Core Participants in the Inquiry and to the public.
7. The Chairman has yet to reach conclusions on what the written and oral evidence he received establishes. The purpose of this document is to give to Core Participants in general terms a gist of some of the evidence heard by the Inquiry in its Closed Hearings. The extent to which witnesses are able to answer questions posed by Core Participants in Open Hearings about this Gist is likely to be limited.

Jason Beer QC
Sophie Cartwright
Counsel to the Inquiry
2.3.17