

IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUIRIES ACT 2005  
AND IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUIRY RULES 2006

**THE ANTHONY GRAINGER INQUIRY**

---

**GIST OF PARTS OF THE CLOSED HEARINGS [6]:  
DS DEBORAH HURST**

---

**Introduction**

1. The Inquiry heard oral evidence from DSHurst on 7.4.17.

**The Evidence**

2. The Inquiry has previously heard evidence in closed proceedings that:
  - a. There was intelligence available to SOCA [following dissemination of item 4 on the Intelligence Chronology] that Aaron Corkovic and David Totton were not planning robberies together and were not working as a team. This information was available to SOCA in late 2011 and, in particular, before and at the time of, the provision of item 41 on the Intelligence Chronology. This information was not disseminated by SOCA to GMP.
3. DS Hurst gave evidence that:
  - a. On reading Item 5 on the Intelligence Chronology D.S. Hurst considered, taking into account other information in her possession, that David Totton and Aaron Corkovic were operating as two teams but that it was always possible that they could be working together given their past history and general OCG interoperability.
  - b. Had she known the intelligence summarised in paragraph 2(a) above she would have updated D.I Cousen straightaway to determine what to do next.

**2.3.12**

4. On the evening of 2 March 2012 at 18.13pm D.I Cousen spoke to her on the telephone. She could not recall the precise words used by D.I.Cousen and she made no notes of this conversation. She said that the gist of the update from him was to the effect that there was intelligence that David Totton, Anthony Grainger and Robert Rimmer were planning to go out and do a job on either the Saturday or the Monday and that D.I Cousen tasked her with resourcing staff for deployment the next day.

### **3.3.12**

5. During the 3<sup>rd</sup> March 2012 she recalls receiving intelligence updates from DI Cousen. She had a recollection that this included intelligence from DI Cousen relating to the robbery being of a CVIT - but made no notes of any of these conversations with DI Cousen and could not assist in respect of the timings of any intelligence updates.

### **This Gist**

6. This gist is not a summary of all of the evidence received in the Inquiry's Closed Hearings.
7. This gist has been seen by the NCA and GMP - each agree that:
  - a. It is accurate and fair; and
  - b. The information contained within it can be disclosed to the Core Participants in the Inquiry and to the public.
8. The Chairman has yet to reach conclusions on what the written and oral evidence he received establishes. The purpose of this document is to give to Core Participants in general terms a gist of some of the evidence heard by the Inquiry in its Closed Hearings.

**Jason Beer QC**  
**Sophie Cartwright**  
Counsel to the Inquiry  
28.4.17

